



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,154	09/25/2006	Shinji Kobayashi	063098	6071
38834 7590 01/08/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036				
EXAMINER				
ALANKO, ANITA KAREN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1792				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/08/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/594,154 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Anita K. Alanko </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 1792 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/594,154	Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI ET AL.	Examiner Anita K. Alanko	Art Unit 1792
Application No. 10/594,154	Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI ET AL.				
Examiner Anita K. Alanko	Art Unit 1792				

All Participants:

(1) Anita K. Alanko.

(2) Scott Daniels.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

no response was filed to the outstanding office action

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Anita K Alanko/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)